



# Reframing the Reference Collection for Singapore Public Libraries



Sharon Thien, Assistant Director, Public Libraries Singapore National Library Board



#### **Presentation Overview**

- **■** Introduction
- Background: why the need to review
- ▶ Project Scope
- Methodology & Approach
- ▶ Findings
- Contextual Considerations & Challenges



# National Library Board Singapore

- Comprises 1 National Library (including the Lee Kong Chian Reference Library), 22 Public Libraries, 1 Community Children's Library
- Distinct service focus for the **National Library** (primarily reference & serves researchers, business & government) and the **Public Libraries** (mainly lending & serve customers of all ages & walks of life)

## **3-tiered Public Libraries Singapore**







### Reference Collection Reframed

### **N** Value of Money Audit

- ► Low on-site usage of Reference Collection at the Public Libraries
- Questions on Optimization of Space Usage
- Questions arising from plans to upgrade one of our regional libraries

# **Project Scope**

#### Reference Collection

- Is there a need for a Reference Collection at the Public Libraries?
- What should be its optimal size for the shopping mall, standalone and regional libraries?
- Who are the target users?
- Recommendations & Action Plans
- Implications of and impact on future reference service delivery and usage



## **Methodology & Approach**

#### **Environment Scan**

- Email interviews with overseas public/state libraries
- ► Literature review (yielded limited returns; more published information on the changing nature of reference service)

## Data Analysis - A Triangulation Approach

- Walk-in Reference Enquiries analysis
- ▶ Browse-count (on-site usage) data on Reference Collection at the 3 Regional Libraries
- Annual Customer Satisfaction Survey on Reference Collection



### **Environment Scan: Email Interviews**

- Aim: to discover overseas best practices in management of reference collections
- 10 libraries responded: SLQ, SLNSW, NYPL, LAPL, HKPL
- Questions asked:
  - Criteria for classifying resources as reference
  - Trends & practices affecting reference collection management
  - Existence of general state-wide policies governing management of reference collection



# **Environment Scan: Findings (1)**

- ▶ Similar Criteria used in classifying items as reference:
  - Quick reference
  - Reference Value: known for question-answering strengths
  - Special or Permanent Collections (research or historical value)
  - ▶ Priority given to general/popular interest over research strength



# **Environment Scan: Findings (2)**

- ► Varying practices & no common trend towards centralization of reference collection management (some tend to keep older titles in the main branch only due to space constraints in the smaller branch libraries)
- Clear trend towards **Downsizing** of reference collections due to
  - Availability of e-resources
  - Dwindling usage of reference collection
  - ▶ Patrons' preference to bring resources home
  - Some libraries indicated a preference towards acquiring e-resources and putting more titles into lending/circulating collection





# **Environment Scan: In Summary**

- Overseas PLs continue to maintain a reference collection
  - albeit a smaller one with
  - supporting lending and online resources

DDC 300s & 600s were most referred materials across all the 3 RLs

**Browse Count** 

2-week manual count showed usage of reference collection but usage level is very low

Walk-in **Enquiries** 

Patrons showed a preference for lending materials; in the event if the lending title is not available, reference collection will be recommended

**Data Analysis** 

Customer Satisfaction

Largest reference collection → highest customer satisfaction

Demand for it exists (>80% of respondents who had used the collection are very satisfied & ranked it high in importance)





## **Data Analysis: In Summary**

- From the Customers' Satisfaction Survey, there is a demand and usage of the reference collections at the public libraries
- Browse Count and Walk-in Enquiries data show consistent usage of the reference collection

### **Preliminary Conclusions**

#### Need & Recommended Size for a Reference Collection

- Yes but downsized
- Target users depend on intended service focus of PL

#### Implications of a Downsized Reference Collection

- ▶ Location & Re-design of Reference Service Desk
- Use of freed-up space
- Criteria for retained reference collection



## **Contextual Considerations & Challenges**

- Changing scope and purpose of Reference Service at the Public Libraries → Reader's Advisory & Public Enquiries & Info Literacy Instruction
- Changing modes & collection focus of reference service delivery
  - ▲ ASK! On the Go (via community/school outreach road shows & web partners' portals) more preferred channel of delivery
  - Library in Your Pocket ASK! via SMS
  - Catering to public library users' preference for Lending/Non-print/e-Resources
- ► Changing demographic trends greying as well as tech-savvy generation (the former requiring face-to-face & mix of print/online resources while the latter will require e-means to reach them)



#### **ASK! On the Go**

- Outreach at Major Lifestyle Events eg Bike Asia 2009
  - Promote range of lifestyle-centric collections such as motorcycling & biking
  - Obtain customer feedback for collection development
  - ASK! A Librarian Service on the Go
  - Promotion of e-resources

**Back** 









# **Looking Ahead**

- Proposed Action Plans
  - What to let go? Convert to Lending?
  - Partial lending of select reference collection?
  - Criteria for retained reference collection mix for different tiers of libraries



# **Any Questions**



- Comments, Insights & Inputs are welcome!
- Contact: sharon\_thien@nlb.gov.sg

